

IDS 3 Waters Modelling in dTIMS Pipe Renewal Forecasts

November 2022



300

IDS 3 Waters Modelling



Outputs

- Interpreting outputs and telling the story
- Reporting of long-term programmes and budgets, focussed on Pipe Renewal and Replacement Forecasts



Components of dTIMS Analysis





Future-Proofing New Zealand's Asset Infrastructure

dTIMS Analytics Overview





Current Condition



Decision Trees



Budget Analysis

×	Home /	Budget Scer	narios						
•	nda 🎤	dit 🗙 Dele	te 🕨 Execute	in the test	rmissions 🚔 Take O at column	wnership		Export to Excel	1 Import
	Display N	Name 🔻	Name	т	Description 1	Analysis Set	т	AnalysisVariable 1	Analysis
	\$1.2M		Budget_1_2M		\$1,200,000 Budget I	Network Analysis		PV Benefit	PV Cost
	Dudget								
	Vear	Total							
	2013	\$1.200.000							
	2014	\$1,230,000							
	2015	\$1,260,750							
	2016	\$1,292,269							
	2017	\$1.324.575							
	2018	\$1,357,690							

Programming

Analysis Sec.	Natural Analysis 🔹 💊		Reprisests \$1.24		Deanere	Sterrog unleted *	S Al'Station
Bart from	20 .		follow 200				
							_
- Star	A states		Departure (Facult				General
	T Delpt Seearce	T ~~	T find turns	T faire T		¥ 20	T length
Internet Analysis	Rolpt,1,2W	2019	All Street	Auto Stream 640 , 700	640.000	790-2000	140.000
athen's Analysis	Budget, 1, 2N	2010	Arhol Street	Athal Street 1175, 1254	1.176.000	1,254,000	118,000
athen's Analysis	Relpt_C24	2019	After Street	Athon Street 1264, 1416	1,294,000	LANSING	122,000
interest Analysis	Relpt_C24	2019	Brock Street	Brock Street 144, 212	144.000	212.000	46.005
International Association	Bulget, L2N	2019	Stock Direct	Brock Street 2001, 4215	3-841-000	4375-388	334.208
Tatheorik Analysis	Bullet, J. DV	2010	Brick Street South	Brick Street South 8, 79	0.000	79-000	79-000
TRADE A SUPPORT	Budget, 1, 2W	2010	Burna Street Gall	Burry Street East 206, 375	206.000	175.000	164,000
INDER'S ADDRESS	Budget,1,2W	2010	Cardinals drive	Cartings (Inved., 247	311.000	247,020	85.500
Technorik Analysis	Budget_120V	2010	Cohorne Street II.	Colorers Seven \$ 205, 370	205-000	175.000	165.000
Instantik Analysis	Bulget, LDM	2010	Darren Avenue	Damen Avenue 215 , 387	215.000	247.000	+72.804
Instantia Analysis	Budget_1_2M	2010	Oundas Street East	Oursian Street East 2224 _ 3 -	1.314-000	1475.000	249.008
Industry Analysis	Budget, 1, 200	2019	Enterin Drow	Broken-Drive 0, 114	0.000	114,000	114,000
adaption Analysis	Relpt_12W	2019	Pallingbrook Street	Pallingbrack Street 67, 282	67.000	282.000	215.005
Industry Analysis	Budget_1,2W	2019	forest reights litrati	Assest weights Street \$75, 7.	875.000	785.000	112,000
International Analysis	Budget, 1, 200	2010	Gardian Street	Garden Street 8, 218	0.000	216.000	216.000
Induced Analysis	Budget, 1, 2N	2010	Garden Street	Garden Street 1457, 1768	1.457.000	1,768-388	312,000
Industri Analysis	Budget_1_2W	2016	Garden Street	Garden Street 2419, 2748	2.415.000	1740.000	325.000
	Burdens & The			Contract Datest 1948 . of 19	1.745.000	4100.000	100.000

Reports



Review & Adjust



Trade-Off Analysis





IDS Technical Consortium













 New members are joining this Financial Year, increasing the capacity and knowledge base



Input Data





Input Data – Spatial Water Supply and Wastewater Network

 A standard data template, combined with IP to calibrate both network-specific and NZ data, supports modelling development



Fro	То	Name	AGE_CORR ECTED	AREA	ASSET_	CAPACI TY_NEE D	COM_	COM	COM_US E_ANC	COM_US E_SUB	COM YEA R	CRITICALIT Y	DISTRICT	NSTALL_DAT	PIPE_CONDI TION	PIPE_CONDITI ON DATE	PIPE_CONFIDENC E_DATE	PIPE ČOV ER	PIPE DEP TH	PIPE_DIAME TER	PIPE_LEN GTH	PIPE_MATE RIAL	PIPE_PRESS	PIPE TYPE	STREET_N AME
	500	20070515090004 us_a		Water Patearoa		4						2	Maniototo	1/11/1976	1	2016-12-20				40	500	uPVC	77.23485565	Principle Main	
	100.93	20030902145038		Water Cromwell		4						4	Cromwell	1/03/1978	1	2016-12-20				375	100.93	AC	78.42653656	Trunk Main	Alpha Street
	84.98	20040810091031		Water Cromwell		4						2	Cromwell	1/11/1978	1	2016-12-20				200	84.98	AC	57.07539749	Principle Main	Jollys Road
	125.24	20060328110432		Water Alexandra		4						2	Alexandra	1/07/2005	1	2016-12-20				50	125.24	uPVC	62,15842438	Rider Main	Briar Crescent
	20.17	20110401164819		Water Roxburgh		4						2	Teviot Vallev	1/09/2010	1	2016-12-20				100	20.17	mPVC	81.0129776	Principle Main	Selkirk Place

More benefits from existing data Data review is a critical part of the process



Input Data – Inventory

- Capture, store and maintain asset data
 - Recording information that describes, measures, and locates the asset base (for the purposes of reporting, asset valuation, customer levels of service, etc.)
 - Ensuring key information is managed and kept up-to-date
 - Technical capability and capacity to access, use, and retain knowledge
- Manage the outputs from information
 - Making data available for asset owners' reporting and planning
 - Identifying and refining the network assets where extra AM effort is valuable
 - Providing data to customers and external stakeholders
- Key to determining condition rating and assessment programmes
 - Tracking what assets look like and how they perform now
 - Supporting some core asset operations and renewals plans



Physical Asset Properties, Condition, Capacity, Usage, and Criticality

DATA ITEM	DESCRIPTION	IMPORTANCE	DATA SOURCE
Water Supply Pipe ID	Asset ID	High: Not required for modelling but important for linking back to asset data.	GIS/Asset Register
Length	Length of Pipes	High: Length is important for calculating breaks per km as well as treatment costs. Ensure pipe lengths are not too long or too short. Recommend breaking long pipes into shorter sections.	GIS/Asset Register
Capacity Need	Capacity need (1-4): 1. Pipe is under capacity and needs to be replaced immediately. 2. Pipe is under capacity and needs to be replaced when replacement becomes an option because of breaks. 3. Pipe has no capacity issues. 4. Unknown.	Medium: If unknown, pipes will not be replaced for capacity reasons. Pipes with an immediate need (category 1) are triggered for pipe replacement based upon capacity alone. Pipes with no capacity need are triggered for pipe replacement only when the break rate exceeds the established thresholds. The model can be set to prioritise category 2 pipes over category 3. When a pipe has been replaced, the capacity need is set to 3 which indicates no capacity issues.	Hydraulic Modelling
Criticality	Consequence of failure on a scale of 1-5 where 5 is most critical and 1 is least critical.	High: Used in logical decisions for inspections and replacements. The model prioritises renewal of higher criticality pipes over low.	Criticality study. Should be recorded in GIS/Asset Register
Installed Date	Date the pipe was installed	High: Used to calculate age. Age is an input to both the Probability of Failure and Break Rate models.	GIS/Asset Register
Pipe Condition	Lastest pipe condition	Optional: Can be used to adjust the age value of the pipes to reflect actual condition if known, for input into the deterioration curves.	Outputs of condition inspections
Condition Assessment Date	Date Condition was recorded	Optional	Outputs of condition inspections
Place Holder for Age	Adjusted age of pipe	Optional: If this is populated it is used as the pipe age, otherwise the age is calculated from the install date. (See Pipe Condition)	Age from condition assessment
Pipe Diameter	Diameter of the pipe in mm	High: Diameter is an input to the Probability of Failure model and treatment costs.	GIS/Asset Register
Material	Material of the pipe	High: Pipe material is used in models, triggers, costs.	GIS/Asset Register
Pressure	Operating pressure of the pipe (m)	High: Pressure is an input to the Probability of Failure and pipe break models.	Hydraulic Modelling
Ріре Туре	e.g. service, main, truck etc.	Optional: For reporting.	GIS/Asset Register



Physical Asset Properties, Condition, Capacity, Usage, and Criticality

- How reliable is the inventory data we are using?
 - Network data has been collated in **current** formats to give a reliable baseline for a whole range of activities
 - Has the dataset been around for a long time? Has it been updated as practice has evolved?
 - Were the assets measured in the field at the time they were constructed, has the data been collected later on, or been populated using assumptions?
 - Are the uncertainties and challenges in creating and maintaining the dataset understood?
- At what level of detail is pipe condition data collected and recorded?
 - Faults data can be understood at an asset level (i.e. breaks on a particular pipe, or a work order/job record)
 - Age-based condition rating is managed across the activities to ensure a high degree of consistency
 - How fast are individual assets deteriorating through inspection/testing?
 - What condition were assets in at the point where they were replaced?
 - How old were my assets when they required replacing?
- Is capacity data an integrated part of network management and modelling requirements?
- Are usage and criticality directly aligned to the assets?
 - AM and Operations planning have considered the impact of an asset failing
 - are there contingency plans in place for repair / replacement within an acceptable timeframe, or linked to Levels of Service?



Input Data – Work Completed and Dollars Spent





OTHER DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR MODELLING								
Treatment Costs	Typically a table of \$/lineal metre for each pipe material/diameter but can be modified to suit any calculation. Treatment costs could be influenced by other factors such as the surface material above the pipe and the depth of pipe.							
Annual Budgets	Annual CAPEX and OPEX budgets for pipe replacements. Historic information is useful for model calibration and predicted future investment is used for scenario testing.							
Break Rates	Any historical data relating to breaks in the network. Used for model calibration. Ideally this will be at a pipe level, but models can be calibrated at a network level. If no break history is available, calibration from previous IDS analysis on other networks can be used.							



Forecasting Capabilities





Starting to Predict the Future - Pipe Renewal Forecasts

- How fast are assets deteriorating?
- What are the failure modes?
- When do we prioritise work on an asset?
 - Failed? Condition? Political? Age? Unknown?
 - Translate into measurable triggers
- What is a failed asset?
- Integration of maintenance and operations
 - Where and when this is most likely to happen in future?
- What solutions are available? What do they cost?
- How much do we have to spend? What do we need to spend?







Treatment Options





Maintenance, Operational, and Renewal Activities - Treatments

- Action taken on an asset to
 - repair effects of deterioration (reaction)
 - slow deterioration at a network level (prevention)
 - replace assets at the end-of-life (renewal)
- Is some action which
 - has a trigger/condition state at which it will occur,
 - has a cost, and,
 - provides a benefit. Defined in the way the treatment modifies the service delivery of the asset
- The 3 Waters model considers pipe breakage / loss of service potential when an asset fails





Model Treatments – Work Activities and Triggers

- Inspection/Reactive Maintenance
- Renew due to breaks
- Renew due to capacity
 - Hydraulic modelling of surcharged pipes
- Reactive maintenance (break rate)
- Materials grouped into
 - AC, PE, PP, PVC (calibrated Raw Base costs)
 - DI, EW, ST (calibrated All-In Base costs)

		DN	Material_
System	Treatment	mm	Туре
ww	REACTIVE		
ww	REPLACE	40	AC_PE
ww	REPLACE	50	AC_PE
ww	REPLACE	65	AC_PE
ww	REPLACE	80	AC_PE
ww	REPLACE	90	AC_PE
ww	REPLACE	100	AC_PE
ww	REPLACE	125	AC_PE
ww	REPLACE	150	AC_PE
ww	REPLACE	200	AC_PE
ww	REPLACE	225	AC_PE
ww	REPLACE	250	AC_PE
ww	REPLACE	300	AC_PE
ww	REPLACE	350	AC_PE
ww	REPLACE	375	AC_PE
ww	REPLACE	400	AC_PE
ww	REPLACE	450	AC_PE
ww	REPLACE	500	AC_PE
ww	REPLACE	525	AC_PE
ww	REPLACE	600	AC_PE

SYSTEM	MATERIAL_ TYPE	CRITICALITY	TREATMENT	LOWER	UPPER	POF
WS	PE_AC	5	REPLACE	0.08	0.33	80
WS	ST	5	REPLACE	0.08	0.33	80
WS	PE_AC	4	REPLACE	0.08	0.42	90
WS	ST	4	REPLACE	0.08	0.42	90
WS	PE_AC	3	REPLACE	0.17	0.58	>99
WS	ST	3	REPLACE	0.17	0.58	>99
WS	PE_AC	2	REPLACE	0.25	0.67	>99
WS	ST	2	REPLACE	0.25	0.67	>99
WS	PE_AC	1	REPLACE	0.33	0.75	>99
WS	ST	1	REPLACE	0.33	0.75	>99



Decision Logic





How Decision Support Informs Better Decision-Making



DS Infrastructure Decision Support

Future-Proofing New Zealand's Asset Infrastructure

NZ-Calibrated Deterioration and Intervention Options



DS Infrastructure Decision Support

Future-Proofing New Zealand's Asset Infrastructure

Pipe Model Forecasting – Break Rates

- Calibration of data covering Works activities on pipe assets repairs and replacements
- Pipe Material
- DN50, 100, and 150
 Pipe Diameter
- Length-weighted to validate breaks/km/year





Forecasting – Probability of Failure

- Function of diameter, pressure, and age
- Calibration of pressure and age for ranges
 - <40m
 - 40-60m
 - >60m
- Average pipe ages
 25-45 years





Future-Proofing New Zealand's Asset Infrastructure

Strategy Generation – Responding to Predicted Asset State





Optimisation





What is Optimisation?

- Optimum
 - Best compromise between opposing tendencies
- What are our opposing tendencies?
 - Condition, Money, Service Risk, Social (Customer Outcomes), Environmental Impacts, Critical Asset Failure, Reputational Risk...



- Must be quantifiable, but doesn't need to be monetized
- 3 Waters Model optimizes analysis of costs, breaks, and pipe lengths at risk of failure



Strategy Efficiency





Outputs





Outputs – Outcomes for Investment Scenarios





Outputs – Water Supply Optimised Budget Scenarios





Outputs – Wastewater Optimised Budget Scenarios





Future-Proofing New Zealand's Asset Infrastructure